

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,565	03/29/2004	Salvador Marquez	ECV-5783	1380
50.00	7590 01/05/200 FESCIENCES CORPO	EXAMINER		
LEGAL DEPA	RTMENT	PRONE, CHRISTOPHER D		
ONE EDWARDS WAY IRVINE, CA 92614			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3738	
			<u>.</u>	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		01/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		NI NI				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/811,565	MARQUEZ, SALVADOR				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christopher D. Prone	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI	I V IS SET TO EXDIDE 4 MON	NTH(S) OP THIPTY (30) DAYS				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 i	March 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		·				
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		,				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	•				
Application Papers		·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	,	•				
,=	Examiner. Note the attached t	The result of form 100 res.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ats have been received					
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Burea	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not re	ceived.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sun					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/811,565

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claim1-18, drawn to a support frame for a flexible leaflet prosthetic heart valve, classified in class 623, subclass 2.18.
- II. Claims 19-23, drawn to a method of replacement of a natural heart valve with a flexible leaflet prosthetic heart valve, classified in class 623, subclass 2.14.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used with a materially different process wherein it is not inserted in the heart valve so that the frame does not move causing it to fracture.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to John Christopher James on 12/18/06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher D. Prone whose telephone number is (571) 272-6085. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Through Fri 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/811,565 Page 4

Art Unit: 3738

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Christopher D Prone Examiner Art Unit 3738

CDP

CORRINE McDERMOTT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700